



E-ARTESANÍA  
'16

KU ISSUE · 3

Nesciencia  
popular y  
sabiduría para  
el día a día

P.V.P.: 19 Ptas.

Armas de construcción masiva

ABIERTO ○ FREE COMO EN *FREEDOM*



# L'ART C'EST L'AZUR

¡Que cofre tan artístico! ¡Qué libro tan hermoso!

¿Quién me lo trajo?

¡Ah! La Musa joven de alas sonantes y corazón de fuego, la Musa de Nicaragua, la de las selvas seculares que besa el sol de los trópicos y arrullan los océanos.

¡Qué hermosas páginas de deliciosa lectura, con prosa como versos, con versos como música! ¡Qué brillo! todo luz, todo perfume, todo juventud y amor:

Es un regalo de hadas: es la obra de un poeta.

Pero, de un poeta verdadero, siempre inspirado, siempre artista, sea que suelte al aire las alas azules de sus rimas, sea que talle en rubíes y diamantes las facetas de su prosa.

Rubén Darío es, en efecto, un poeta de esquisito temperamento artístico que aduna el vigor a la gracia; de gusto fino y delicado, casi diría aristocrático; neurótico y por lo mismo original; lleno de fosforescencias súbitas, de novedades y sorpresas; con la cabeza poblada de aladas fantasías, quimeras y ensueños, y el corazón ávido de amor, siempre abierto a la esperanza.




Si el ala negra de la muerte antes no lo toca, si las fogosidades del numen no lo consumen o despeñan, ¡Rubén Darío llegará a ser una gloria Americana, que tal es la fuerza y ley de su estro juvenil!







## LAS CUATRO LIBERTADES FUNDAMENTALES DEL CÓDIGO LIBRE (No Tanto "Gratis" Como "Libertario")

Citamos: La desazón típica del romanticismo: el hastío de la vida y una profunda tristeza, junto a la melancolía y la angustia. Búsqueda de la soledad y rechazo de una sociedad. El escapismo, evasión de la realidad del tiempo y del espacio. El **amor** y el erotismo, con cierta idealización del amor y de la mujer. El tema del *amor imposible* se presenta con diferencias respecto al ideal romántico. Hay un contraste entre el profundo y delicado amor y un intenso erotismo. El cosmopolitismo muestra el anhelo de distinto y aristocrático. Los modernistas demostraban mucha devoción por París. Los temas americanos, en especial los temas indígenas, muchas veces con una defensa del indígena. Lo *hispano* como antecedente histórico valioso que otorga una armonía frente al mundo inarmónico. Usa un lenguaje culto. *Wikipedia*. Fin de la cita. Se sigue la tercera entrega del e-zine KU. La [issue-1](#) y la [issue-2](#) preceden este vocabulario de armas de construcción masiva; el argumento de la bomba de impresión y el manifiesto kukuísta. La issue-3 se contextualiza vía Ken Wilber, en el quicio de la psicología integral, del *homo universalis*, última capa de una trascendencia que emerge a la consciencia humana. En sus antípodas, si se le otorgan [cuatro libertades X](#) a un determinado código Y, entonces Y es código libre. Si cualquier persona puede observar el código fuente de un programa, es abierto. Mozilla es una fundación que ofrece [Firefox webextensions](#).










## AMBIGUA REBELDÍA CREATIVA...

..., un refinamiento narcisista y aristocrático, el [culturalismo cosmopolita](#) y una profunda renovación estética del lenguaje y la métrica. Se conoce por *modernismo* a la forma hispánica de la crisis universal de las letras y del espíritu, que se manifiesta en el arte, la ciencia, la religión y la política.













> Do you agree with this plan? If so, which site will you do first.

Yes! I've started with Change.org.

However, I'm a bit confused in how to deal with that.

The point is that they are deprecating previous API[1] to manage signing petitions. There was, even, a Javascript API to link their server[2] so the task of developing a Firefox Webextension was pretty simple by using two of them.

The problem is that they have deprecated those APIs, replacing it by just a widget[3] that builds a banner which once clicked throws the browser to their website.

In the other hand, it seems to me that Meetup.org has nice OAuth2 API access, which makes much easier the process.

If you were me, would you still go forward with Change.org even it seems that they don't like it? If yes, I'd need to hack a bit the widget. When I mention in previous emails to you "0-days" approach (nothing about security) I was meaning something like this: they don't provide this functionality, so the work must be done like a "0days" exploit: examining the code and finding any hole to communicate with backend.

[1] [https://github.com/change/api\\_docs](https://github.com/change/api_docs)

[2] <https://github.com/vbuck/change-js-api>

[3] <http://blog.change.org/post/how-to-embed-a-petition-anywhere>

[https://github.com/aleph1888/  
JavascriptRemoverWebExtension/  
wiki/Change.org](https://github.com/aleph1888/JavascriptRemoverWebExtension/wiki/Change.org)

**ANY HELP WOULD BE  
APPRECIATED!**



[[[ To any NSA and FBI agents reading my email: please consider ]]]  
[[[ whether defending the US Constitution against all enemies, ]]]  
[[[ foreign or domestic, requires you to follow Snowden's example. ]]]

I did not know that change.org had an API before. (I have no way to find out such things.)

> The problem is that they have deprecated those APIs, replacing it by  
> just a widget[3] that builds a banner which once clicked throws the  
> browser to their website.

I see two solutions:

1. Use the API, then contact them, explain why we can't use the widgets, and ask them to please keep the API working.

2. reverse-engineer their widget and figure out what ajax requests it uses to sign petitions. Then use those -- treat them as an unofficial API.

> If you were me, would you still go forward with Change.org even it seems  
> that they don't like it?

OF COURSE! We would like to have their cooperation, but we won't give up merely for lack of their cooperation.

This front-end could be an opportunity to educate them AND the users about this issue.

> If yes, I'd need to hack a bit the widget. When  
> I mention in previous emails to you "0-days" approach (nothing about  
> security) I was meaning something like this: they don't provide this  
> functionality, so the work must be done like a "0days" exploit: examining  
> the code and finding any hole to communicate with backend.

Please do NOT use the term "0-day" in this context. Please do NOT say things that compare this to security breaking. It ISN'T security breaking. If you say things that allude to security breaking in this discussion, that could give people the wrong idea, and they could condemn us based on their misunderstanding. That could have results that are bad for us.

We are NOT going to break their security. Our front end will use their site to do what they WANT people to do on their site: sign their petitions.

The difference will be that it uses our software rather than their software.

Ok?